UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Joshua David-Allen Echols Defendant	Case No.1:19-mj-00165-ESC
	after conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Referenced by detained pending trial.	teform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I –	Findings of Fact
(1)		d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but a minor victim the possession or use of a firear a failure to register under 18 U.S	m or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	I while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presperson or the community. I further find that defend	umption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe ant has not rebutted that presumption.
	•	tive Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten year Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 e under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)		stablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of condition and the safety of the community.
√ (1)	Alternate There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a	tive Findings (B) opear.
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endar	•
		of the Reasons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted at a preponderance of the evidence that:	the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing
 Crimir Lack of Unver Pendi 	ance abuse history nal History Including Record of Failure to Appear of Verifiable, Legitimate Employment ified/Unknown Residence ng Charges and Warrants	ons Regarding Detention
correction appeal. T States Co	ns facility separate, to the extent practicable, from per The defendant must be afforded a reasonable oppor	orney General or a designated representative for confinement in a ersons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending tunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United , the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the se.

Date: May 30, 2019

Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge